## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

### REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Friday, January 29, 1971

#### APPEARANCES:

For the People:

VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI, DONALD A. MUSICH, STEPHEN RUSSELL KAY. DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

BONALDX MIGHES X XESX. MAXWELL KEITH, Esq. PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

VOLUME 172

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR.,

21813 to 21902 PAGES

MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR., Official Reporters

## IIIII

ROME: E VITRERES DIRECT CHOICE REPRESE PROPOSE

Chour, hermani (Cont'd) 114ME 11892

ERUIN, Mehesl

#### IXILIXII.

PROPLETS: FOR THE WILLIAM IN TYLINGO

Z - Photograph 1189

# LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, JANUARY 29, 1971 9:30 o'clock a.m.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10.

11

12

13

Ì4

15:

16.

17

18.

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

÷...

(The following proceedings were had in the chambers of the court, out of the presence of the jury, the defendants and the attorneys, the Court, the court reporter and the bailtiff only being present:)

THE BAILIFF: This is Ken Daut, your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand you want to talk to me.

JUROR DAUT: Yes, your Honor, I would like to be excused from further jury duty. I feel I have done my civic duty and I have a family and other things to take care of and it is really becoming quite a hardship.

I can also say that part of my request is based on the fact that there is a personality difference between Mr. Murray and myself, and I just don't feel it is worth it, personally.

I can honestly say that in my opinion, my civic duty ended when they brought in the verdict. That is the way I feel about it.

I want out.

THE COURT: Well, no question about it, you have done your civic duty. However, the civic duty has not ended. In this kind of a case the penalty trial is also part of the trial.

MR. DAUT: Well, I think you have five other -- four

other alternates. What do you want me to do, bring my kids

1

≅"±

1 .2 4 5,

б

Ż.

15 G

ا نوست. ا

9.

11

10

14

13

16 17

15

18

20

21

19

22

24

26

somebody had to take care of the kids so she came home.

My mother-in-law lives with us but she had a stroke last summer and she does not have her faculties.

THE COURT: Of course one of the problems is -- it is true we have five alternates, but we have no way of knowing whether any or all of them may be used.

I know it is a hardship on all of the jurors. The case is going longer than anybody had anticipated.

But the penalty phase is definitely part of the trial. The fact they came back with guilty verdicts is only the end of that particular phase.

MR. DAUT: But you can see my point that that is not the only part of my problem. The way I feel there are these other things.

THE COURT: Of course, any differences you have with Mr. Murray, I cannot really understand how that can affect your duty as a juror.

What sort of differences are you talking about? MR. DAUT: We just cannot get along on anything that He seems to be at me all the time. happens.

THE COURT: What do you mean, at you, in what way? MR. DAUT: Any little thing that happens, like yesterday, as an example, I could not get my laundry done because Mr. Mirray said I spent over the limit last week.

Well, hell, I can't send my stuff home. Who is going to come and bring it back? I brought down here my

Laundry list so you can see, your Honor, you can see the Ambassador Hotel Laundry is not chesp.

That is the two-day list. They have a one-day service that is more.

1a-1

t e

2

ľ

Ş

4 5

6 7

8

10.

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

Ì9

20 21

22 23

-25

24

26 ·

THE COURT: Well, I don't think that poses a great problem. I mean, if your laundry runs over a little bit nobody is going to make an issue out of that.

MR. DAUT: I know it, but that is just an example of how Hurray and I just don't get along.

THE COURT: This ign't something that Mr. Murray dreams up for his own personal benefit, Mr. Daut. He is trying to carry out the policies that have been set, that is all. He is doing his duties just as you are doing yours. You don't fault him for that, do you?

MR. DAUT: I fault him for being biased.

I feel if it was Bob Douglas or Gene Roseland or Evelyn Hines, he wouldn't have said nothing to them.

THE COURT: I think these are matters which should be overlooked.

MR. DAUT: Maybe they should, but it is all part of the ball of wax.

I am there; I can't do nothing else and that is that.

But yet I still have my own life to lead. I still have my own family.

All of these things put together, I would like to be released.

THE COURT: Well, I can certainly understand your desire to have this thing and. We are all in the same boat. Everyone would like to see it terminate, and it will

terminate.

Unfortunately we still have some distance to go. If I excuse a juror -- you know, there is no more reason to excuse you then perhaps a half dozen of them, and I obviously cannot do that.

MR. DAUT: I can honestly say, we just had a meeting upstairs that was called by the foremen, and there were only two of us went out.

THE COURT: Only what?

HR. DAUT: Two of us that want out of the whole group.

THE COURT: I don't understand.

MR. DAUT: There's only two of us want to leave.

Herman just called a meeting upstairs a few minutes ago
and we had a big discussion about this, and there's only
two of us who expressed a desire to leave, who feel the same
way I do.

THE COURT: I see what you mean. Who is the other one?

MR. DAUT: Johnny Ellis.

THE COURT: Well, I will discuss this matter with the attorneys, Mr. Daut, and give it some careful thought myself.

I wish you would reconsider it, however.

MR. DAUT: I cannot do it, your Honor. I have got problems of my wife being ill and someone to take care of

Į.

2

3

4

5 .

٠.٠٠.

7

8

ģ.

10

11

.12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

**23**-

24

25

*2*6

my children. My mother-in-law does not have her mental faculties since she had her stroke.

Up until the auto accident it wasn't too bad, but now my wife cannot get around. It's just impossible.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I will consider it carefully and let you know.

MR. DAUT: Thanks very much.

THE COURT: All right.

. Ż

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(The following proceedings occur in chambers. All counsel present. Defendants absent.)

THE COURT: All counsel are present.

With respect to the motions that were filed by Mr. Kanarek yesterday. I am going to have to put them over to permit the County Counsel to prepare whatever he cares to in opposition.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May I briefly be heard on that? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Even assuming Mr. Kanarek's allegations are true, your Honor, so what?

All this is going to do is slander this woman's reputation.

On Channel 11 last night, my wife said she saw a drawing of the woman and an allegation that she is turning to alcohol.

My point is that even assuming that she is drinking, his declaration itself sets forth nothing actionable, and I think by having the County Counsel brought

THE COURT: Well, I was really referring to the other motion. This combines two motions under one cover.

MH. BUGLIOSI: I am sorry.

THE COURT: Another one supported by Mr. Fitzgerald's declaration.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I see.

THE COURT: I agree the declaration with respect to

22

23

24

25

. 26

Mrs. Hines is so totally lacking in any content that I can only assume that Mr. Kanarek — well, it is hard for me to understand this kind of a motion. It is obviously done maliciously with intent to slander this woman without any factual basis whatever.

The declaration itself is about third-rate hearsay. And even if it were true, even if everything in the declaration were true, it wouldn't establish anything that has any relevance.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor --

THE COURT: I don't want to hear from you, Mr. Kanarek.
I will give you a chance to be heard at the proper time.
But that is my present feeling about it.

MR. KANAREK: Very well. I understand.

Your Honor has made a statement --

THE COURT: I don't want to hear any reply at this time.

But it just defies imagination that a responsible attorney would engage in this kind of practice.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, her own husband has stated that she would be coerced by the other jurors.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, I don't want to hear from you at this time. I will give you an opportunity to be heard --

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

I did not generate this hearsay.

THE COURT: -- on what can laughingly be described as a motion of some kind with regard to Mrs. Hines.

25

26

**∵**∵

28

But as I say, I question your good faith, as it is obviously done with malicious intent, in my opinion, without any basis whatever.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor is prejudging.

THE COURT: I am basing it on what you have said in your declaration, Mr. Kanarek. That is what I am basing my statement on.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, if someone is drinking while they are --

THE COURT: I don't want to hear from you now. I will give you a chance to be heard.

Now, one of the alternate jurors, Mr. Daut, has asked to be excused. His wife was in an accident on Monday and she is unable to drive. He has young children. His mother-in-law is living with them.

I know this from having had him in chambers this morning. It is on the record, and I can have the reporter read back our conversation, if you like. It will be a part of the record.

He asked to see me, and I did see him, and that is his request, that he be excused.

2a-1

2

3,

ŀ

**5**.

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

**1**5

16

17

.18 19

ΘÁ

21

22 23

24

25

26

MR. FITZGERALD: Could I make a remark off the remark? May we go off the record?

THE COURT: All right.

(An off the record discussion was had.)

THE COURT: We will so back on the record.

MR. FITZGERALD: The defendants and each of them, by their counsel, would offer to stipulate that Mr. Daut, the alternate juror, may be excused for cause -- or may be excused by stipulation, excuse me.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The People so stipulate.

THE COURT: All right. Then Mr. Deut will be excused.

Will you ask Mr. Daut to dome on down?

(Whereupon Mr. Daut enters the court's chambers and the following proceedings occur:)

THE COURT: Mr. Daut is now present.

Counsel have agreed by stipulation, Mr. Daut, to excuse you from further service as a juror.

I will, however, order you to refrain from discussing with envone your participation in this case as a juror until the case is over.

MR. DAUT: All right.

THE COURT: By "over," I mean until the jury has returned a verdict in the penalty phase, if they do return a verdict, or until they have been excused from further service.

MR. DAUT: Yes.

2=-2

٠.

2

3 4

5

6 7

ġ 9

10 11

12

13 14

.15. .16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

THE COURT: And by that, I meen any conversations you may have had with other jurors, either in court or out of court.

MR. DAUT: Yes.

THE COURT: Or your own reflections and comments on the trial, and so forth.

MR. DAUT: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: And I do wish to thank you very much. I know this has been a sacrifice for you and all the other jurors, and on behalf of all the counsel and on behalf of the Court, the courts of this state and the people of this country, I want to thank you very much for your valuable public service.

As you can well appreciate, it is an unusual case from the standpoint of jurors, and probably the longest that any juror has ever been sequestered in any case, so far as I know.

In any event, whether it is a record or not is unimportant. The fact is that your service has been extremely valuable to the People of this state, and they and we are grateful for it.

MR. DAUT: Thank you very much, your Honor. I appreciate the fact that you are excusing me under the circumstances.

THE COURT: I hope that your wife is better. .... MR. DAUT: Thank you. 3 to 1

2. .

Į

.3

**4**.

5 6.

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2İ

22 23

24

25

26

(Whereupon Mr. Daut leaves the Court's chambers.)
THE COURT: Now, then, are there some other matters?

I think I will make an announcement in open court that Mr. Daut has been excused by stipulation of all counsel at his -- well, let's see -- I don't want to word it in such a way that it creates more problems than it solves.

I think I will just leave it that way. By stipulation of all counsel, at his request, he has been excused, without going into the details.

It is all on the record, as I say. You will get a copy of the transcript of my conversation with Mr. Daut in which he sets forth his reasons for it.

Is there anything else?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. There is another matter.

It is our understanding, the defendants' understanding, that the prosecution -- and it may happen today -- intends to put on evidence concerning another homicide as to Susan Atkins. And without getting into the merits of the admissibility of that testimony, one aspect of their case is going to be the attempted admission into evidence of certain photographs that perhaps your Honor ought to take a look at.

I have been associated with a number of murder cases and I have seen a number of photographs, but these are very, very, very gruesome photographs.

....

2b fls.

arguendo that the evidence of the other homicide is admissible in the penalty phase of the trial, the prosecution may not appeal to the passions of the jurors. And these photographs are such, it is a very, very badly decomposed body with thousands of maggets crawling in and out of the body. They are horrible, gruesome.

THE COURT: Whose body is this? 2b-I 1 MR. FITZGERALD: The body of one Gary Hinman. These photographs, I would say to you, are far, 3 far more gruesome than any of the photographs admitted in the case in chief. The only reason I bring it up now is so that your 1 17 Honor ---THE COURT: I thought he was killed in a house up Topanga Canyon. MR. BUGLIOSI: That's right, he was, but we don't know 10: how long he was dead. 11 There are maggots. They are extremely gruesome 12 photographs. 13 THE COURT: What would be the relevance of the 14 photographs that don't accurately depict, for example, the 15 body at the time of the crime? 16 MR. BUGLIOSI: Well we were not going to introduce 17 those photographs at the scene anyway. They are in color. 18 MR. FITZGERALD: You are not? Ì9. MR. BUGLIOSI: Just the Coroner's. 20 MR. SHINN: You told me you were going to introduce 21 22 those pictures. MR. BUGLIOSI: Just the black-and-white Coroner's 23 24 photographs. 25 MR. FITZGERALD: But they are horrible, too. MR. KAY: But they are relevant. The Coroner has to 26

24

25

26

testify from those photographs. They show the stab wounds, and this corresponds with Susan Atkins; confession, the location and the number.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I might make one comment.

During the penalty trial, the People can appeal to the passion of the jury.

In fact, I think there is a case where the Court instructed the jury, using the same instruction as during the guilt phase, and --

MR. FITZGERALD: I will cite you some authority.

I will get some authority for it.

THE COURT: I believe, unless I am mistaken, that Mr. Buglicsi is correct, but the probative value still has to outweigh the prejudicial effect.

MR. FITZGERALD: Right.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Of course.

THE COURT: And that, of course, is a question, a determination that the Court has to make.

Well, all I can say is, gentlemen, I hope this penalty phase is expedited because I can't see any benefit to either side in dragging it out.

MR. SHINN: One other matter, your Honor.

The defendants went to meet at lunch time for half an hour to talk about their defense, your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense?

MR. SHINN: Maybe shorter than that. I don't know.

Is it possible, your Honor? 1 THE COURT: A joint defense in a penalty phase? 2 MR. SHINN: This afternoon, during the lunch hour. 3 They want to talk about the defense. I don't know what they want to talk about. MR. BUGLIOSI: That it is raining outside and they want an umbrella? THE COURT: Yes, 8 1:00 to 1:30, your Honor? MR. SHINN: 9 THE COURT: The time will be subject to whatever the 10 Sheriff's problems are in moving them around, and for lunch, 11 and so on, but they may meet together, yes. MR. SHINN: Thank you. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 **20 21** 22 23 24 25

25

23

25.

26

THE COURT: Now, is there anything else before we proceed?

As I say, I will have to put the hearing on Mr. Kanarek's motions over until probably sometime next week, probably about a week, to give the County Counsel an opportunity to review the motion about security and preparing any opposition they want.

So, tentatively, I think I will set it for a week from today.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, if I may, and I am sure your Honor, though your Honor has attacked my integrity. I prefer not to attack the Court's integrity ---

THE COURT: I wouldn't think that would be a good idea.

MR. KANAREK: There is no such thing as contempt of lawyer in our law yet. There is contempt of court, but I think there should --

THE COURT: Maybe you are going to invent something new before this case is over.

MR. KANAREK: No, it is not a matter of inventing something. The law is dynamic, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you have something to may, get to the point, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, And that is this. I say, I don't want to, certainly, attack the integrity of the Court, and I say this, I think that the Court is being dilatory on this, and I say it in this manner: That the County Counsel has no

25

26

position in this, or need have no position, for this reason.

THE COURT: I will make that determination.

MR. KANAREK: I am trying to convince the Court.

What I am saying is this. The point is that the security aspect, we are not dealing with security per se, what we are trying to get across is --

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, I am not going to clutter up the record with any more of your comments. I told you that I would hear you at the time of the hearing on the motions.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

I was trying to convince the Court.

THE COURT: The People of this County have a right to oppose a motion which is, in effect, attacking the conduct of this trial or the security arrangements surrounding the trial.

I don't know what your point is, but if you are saying that you have the right to file a motion and nobody has a right to oppose it. I can disabuse you of that notion.

MR. KANAREK: What I am saying, your Honor, the gist of our motion is the state of mind of the jurges. At this point we are talking about whether or not the jurges --

ج ج

3

4

.6

7.

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

> 15: 16

17

18

20 21

22

24

25

26

THE COURT: That is not the point at all. The point is whether anyone is going to have some time to prepare some opposition to what you have put in your affidevits or your declarations.

MR. KANAKEK: It is the People of the State of California, your Honor, because our position is, I think the People are the ones who have the standing here, not the County Counsel.

It is our position that the states of mind of the jurors are involved.

THE COURT: In any event, the motions will be put over for hearing to a week from today at 8:45 a.m.

Anything further before we resume? (No response.)

The same rules apply so far as the defendants' presence in the courtroom is concerned.

The female defendants will be brought into court this morning. Mr. Mangon will not.

However, I want to tell you, Mr. Kenarek, again -- I don't think it is necessary, it has been said dozens of times throughout this trial -- that whenever he indicates a desire to return to the courtroom and conduct himself in a proper manner, I will permit him to do so.

If he takes advantage of that as he has in the past and indicates he is acting in bad faith, I may not make that offer again, but I make it now.

2

3

4

5

6

8

**9** 

11 12

1,3,

15

14

16

**17** 

18

19 20

.5

21

22

23 24

25

26<sup>.</sup>

Please so inform your client.

(No response.)

I can't hear you.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

We will see you in court.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the members of the jury, all defendants with the exception of Mr. Manson being present, all counsel with the exception of Mr. Hughes being present.)

THE COURT: All of the defendants except Mr. Manson are present; all counsel and all the jurors are present.

Mr. Kenneth Dant, at his request, has been excused by stipulation of all counsel from further service as an alternate juror in the case.

Are you ready to proceed with your examination, Mr. Kanarek --

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: -- of Mr. Crowe?

#### BERNARD CROWE,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

THE CLERK: Will you state your name, please.

THE WITNESS: Bernard Crows.

1 THE CLERK: You are still under oath, sir, 2 MR. KANAREK: May I have just a moment, your Honor. 3 4 CROSS EXAMINATION (Continued) 5, BY MR. KANAREK; 6. . Mr. Crowe, the \$2400 that you have spoken of, that 2400 was for how much merijuana? 8 I guess -- I couldn't remember quite how much 9. this was, somewhere around 24 or 25, somewhere in that area. 10 You mean 24 or 25 kilos? Q 11 Yes. A 12 A kilo is roughly \$100? Q 13 Roughly, yes. À 14 It's \$100 on the wholesale market, is that 15. right? 16 I refuse to answer that question on the ground 17 I may incriminate myself. 18 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I submit the witness has 19 testified -- has been called by the prosecution -- that is 20 not going to incriminate him in anything. 21 MR. BUGLIOSI: I object on the ground it is irrelevant. 22 your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 MR. KANAREK: Well, then, your Honor, may I make an 25 offer of proof. 26 THE COURT: Ask your next question.

214

څ

#### BY MR. KANAREK: 1 What is a lid of marijuana, Mr. Crowe? Q 2 Excuse me, may I call my attorney to the À 3 standî 4 THE COURT: Very well. 5 (Whereupon Mr. James Morgan, attorney for the 6 witness, approaches the witness for an off the record 7 conference, after which the following proceedings were had 8 in open court on the record.) 9 THE WITNESS: It has been sometime ago so I cannot 10 quite remember that amount. I don't remember. Н BY MR. KANAREK: 12 You don't remember what a lid is? 13 Right, it has been quite mometime since I, you 14 know, indulged --15 THE COURT: Just enswer the question. Do you remember 16 or do you not? 17 THE WITNESS: No. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 BY MR. KANAREK: 20 How many lids are there in a kill Q. 21 I don't know. A 22 Now, Mr. Crowe, when you left the house to go 23 over to pick up Steve, do you remember that? 24 Yes. A 25 Was your state of mind such that -- let me Q 26.

3 -

e .	1	ATTIMITED FOR THE T ATTE WAY AGE:
	2	What was your state of mind as to what the
	3	person that you spoke with on the telephone was going to
	4	do?
, <u>\$</u> ¥	5	MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion.
	6	MR. KANAREK: It calls for his state of mind, your
နှင့် မ	7	Honor.
• •	8.	THE COURT: Sustained.
	ġ	BY MR. KANAREK:
	10	Q You had had a conversation with a person on the
	11	telephone, right?
,	12	A Yes.
	13	Q And you were told that that person was Charles
	14	Manson, is that right?
	15	A Not quite like that, just "Charlie."
	· 16	Q Charlie?
•	17	A Right.
,	18	Q And you had a convers ation with Charlie, is
ė	19	that right?
<u> </u>	20	A Yes.
<b>9</b>	21 <sup>.</sup>	Q The person that you thought was Charlie, right
Ja fls.	22	A Yesh, right.
	23	
•	24	
	25	

1	Q No question about that?
2	A No question about it.
3	Q Do you remember having a conversation with this
4	gentleman, Mr. Bugliosi, on January the 27th, a more two
5	days ago?
6	A Yes, I believe so, yes.
7	A In answer to a question:
8	"Who was in the apartment if anyone when you
.9	returned?
10	"A Manson was in the apartment with a
ų.	guy named T.J., Rosina and Steve and Del were
12	also there."
13	Do you remember telling that to Mr. Bugliosi?
14	A Yes.
15	MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness, your Honor
16	Q You remember that; I don't have to show it to yo
17	A Yes, I remember.
.18	So Steve was already at the apartment when you
19	returned, right?
20	A No, I did not say that. I said I went to get
21	him.
22	Q Well, so there is no question about it, what time
23	did you return after having left?
24*	A Like I said yesterday, I think it was around
25	anywhere between 1:00, 1:30 or 2:00 o'clock, somewhere in
<i>2</i> 6	that area.

withdrawing the question? 'MR. KANAREK: Very well. I will have the question read. 2 (Whereupon, the reporter reads the pending question.) 4 THE WITNESS: I said from that time I was in the **5** ' hospital. I remember that time was 4:00 o'clock on the way 6 to the hospital, about 4:00 o'clock, either that I seen the 7. time in the house, or in the hospital, one or the other, 8 Actually I was not unconscious, so I can say perhaps to the hospital at 4:00 o'clock, something like that. 10 Mr. Crowe. I am now speaking of when you returned 11 12 to the apartment after having left the apartment, this is 13 before the time that you tell us that you were shot. Okay. I can say it's about 2:00 or 2:30, something 14 15 like that, perhaps. 16 You say it was 2:00 or 2:30? 17 Yes, around there. 18 . 19 stipulation that in response to the question: Did you return? 21

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, Mr. Bugliosi and I have a

HA. Yes.

22

23

25

26

MO. About what time?

3:00 or 4:00 a.m. " that that is what MA. Mr. Crowe told or stated in Mr. Bugliosi's presence.

We have the stipulation that he so stated on January 27th, 1971.

	R. BUGLIOSI: So stipulated.
. 6	BY MR. KANAREK: Does that refresh your
recoli	ection, Mr. Crowe, that you returned about 3:00 or
4:00 E	.m.?
· A	No, no.
	Well, when you told Mr. Bugliosi
A	
mistak	e, what I was saying to the question that was asked,
	ething to that effect.
C	
Ą	
•	
, ,	
,	
,	
· •	
4	
	•

. 13

**X** =

甘蔗

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

9

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18<sub>.</sub>

**2**0

21

22 23

24.

25

26

Q Well, you mean this was not put down on this paper right?

A I imagine it was, but I am just explaining to you that I probably did mention the time.

Now, maybe one of the two people, either Mr. Bugliosi made a mistake, I did, or I did not hear the question right, or whatever.

Q Now, then, Mr. Grows, will you tell us which way was it? That was a couple of days ago that you told Mr. Bugliosi it was three or four a.m. Now, you are telling us it is a different time.

A I am judging it from the time that I got back from El Monte.

Like I said, it was about 11:30, 12:00, 12:30 - sbout 12:30, maybe 1:00 when we got back to the apartment from El Monte.

So I was there roughly a half hour.

First we had a discussion, and then she made the phone call and I talked to Charlie for, like I said, about three minutes or less or so and I left.

It was about 1:00 when I left perhaps.

I got over there, 1:30 or 2:00 I got back perhaps, somewhere around in that area. I don't remember me saying 4:00 o'clock. Probably I was in the hospital because I was rushing to do something, I was in such great pain.

3b-2	1
<b>.</b> .	2
E	Š
•	4
ġ.	5
	6
हें <sub>द</sub>	. 7
	.8
	9
	ĴĎ
	11
	12
	13
;	14
	15
•	16
	<b>.17</b>
	18
	19
	20
Ŷ	21
	22
	23
4	24

25

26

Q You were not in the hospital on January 27th when you spoke to Mr. Bugliosi?

A Oh, no, no, definitely not.

Were you under the influence of anything when you spoke to Mr. Bugliosi?

A No. I was not.

MR. KANAREK: It is stipulated, your Honor, that on Jenuary the 27th, 1971, in a conversation between Mr. Crowe and Mr. Bugliosi, the following was stated -- this is at lines I through 6 of what is the third page in this transcript:

"Q Who was in the apartment, if anyone, when you returned?

"A Manson was in the apartment with a guy named T.J., Rosins and Steve and Del were also there.

"Q Who is Staye?

"A A friend of mine,"

MR. BUGLIOSI: So stipulated.

MR. KAMAREK: So stipulated that is what Mr. Crows told Mr. Bugliosi on January the 27th, 1971.

Now, my question, Mr. Crowe, is this:

Q When you came back -- when you came back to the apartment you came back with Steve, a person that you had gone out to get and to come back to the apartment with, is that what you are telling us?

,,	
••	ľ
35-3	1
,	2
	3
	4
•	. <b>5</b>
	6
<b>.</b>	7:
	8
	. 9
	10
	11
	12
	13
ý.	14
	15
1.	16
	17
	18
ė	: 19
	, <b>,2</b> 0
d)	, <b>ž</b> 1
. ,	. 25
	2
	ġ

25

26

,	Ä	Right,	that	1#	the	brithome	I	left	for.	For
what	reason	would	I leav	70?			•		•	-

Q You are telling us that is the purpose you left for.

A Yes, to go get him.

Q So you could come back with Stave, right?

A Yes, sure,

Q So he would be with you when you saw Mr. Manson or Charlie or whoever was on the telephone?

A I didn't have any idea to see anyone. No one said they was coming over. I didn't have any indication of the tone of the voice that there would be something of any violence or any physical activity or anything of that sort.

So it didn't -- the fact I seen him, whatever, it wasn't anything. I did not think about it.

		4000
4-1	1	Q Did Rosins, when you came back, did Rosins and
	2	you have any conversation?
. :	3	A Yes. Everyone did.
	. 4	Everyone had conversation?
į.	.5	A Right,
•	6	Q Right?
<i>5</i> €	7	A Yes. Right.
, ,	8	Q What was said by each of you when you came back
	9	Mr. Crowa?
	10-	A Like I said yesterday, basically about what
	11	happened and how disappointed she was and upset about it.
*	12	I cannot recall the conversation that was
	13	taken word for word in the apartment after we came back.
•	14	I mean, after all, it was a year and a half ago, possibly
•	15	two.
	16	Q How much do you wrigh, Mr. Growe?
	17	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is irrelevant.
	18	MR. KANAREK: That has to do with supposedly there
3	- 19	was some altercation, your Honor.
_	20	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
7	21	THE WITNESS: Up and down, from 273 to 293, possibly
· .	22	300.
ć	23	by Mr. Kanarek:
4	24	Q And how tall are you?
	25	A Five eleven and a half.
	26	Q And how much did you weigh at the time that you
	ı	

came to this apartment on this evening? 1 About 290 something, close to 300. Maybe 2 Something like that. I was really big. I have lost 3 weight. 4 Now, then, when Rosina had that original 5. conversation with whom she had it with at the ranch, at the apartment, at that time you wanted your money back, 7 right, or the weed? 8 The state of the s A Yes. 9 Right? 10. Sure. ļĮ And as far as you were concerned, you were 12 going to go out wherever this person was that you thought 13 was Charlie and do semething about it in order to get your 14. money or the weed; right? 15 Wrong. 16 You weren't going to do snything about it? 17 No. 18 For sure? Q 19 For sure. 1 20 Q I see. 21 Then is there some reason -- I will withdraw 22 that. 23 Was your state of mind such that you believed 24 that Mr. Watson was located at the place where this person 25 named -- this other Charlie was that you spoke to? 26

you, Mr. Crowe, were going to the Spahn Ranch and destroy everyone?

Were you in favor of that language? Under no circumstances.

2

Ì

5

7

٠.

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

26.

Q I see.

You got on the telephone and you told the person on the other and that what Rosina had just said just wasn't so, you weren't mad at anybody.

Is that what you said?

- A. I didn't say that.
- Q You didn't?
- A No.
- Q What did you say?
- A. I said all I want is the weed or the money, and I gave the receiver back to her.

Well, no. Before then, he said he didn't believe that he would do that.

- Q Pardon?
- A. It doesn't sound like Tex would do something like that. That is my brother. I don't believe he would do that. I don't believe it. I can't understand it.

Something to that effect, or the basis of that.

I said: Well, all I want is the weed or the money. And I gave her the phone back. I had nothing else to say.

I gave her the phone back, and that was it.

Q I sec.

When you came into the place, into the apartment after coming back, and having this conversation, after you had been in there some time, you sat down, you say?

got up and he shook my hand.

₫Ē

And I introduced Steve.

He complimented Steve's shirt, and Steve sat down, and we got to talking.

And he kept explaining to me that he doesn't understand Tex doing something like this. It doesn't sound like him, and so on and so forth.

And he got up and he said: Of course, I came --

- 4 Yes.
- A. Then he got up and pulled the gun.

He pulled it three or four times or so. About four times.

And he said: These are not loaded, but this one

Now, in the course of pulling of the trigger, there was a conversation at the same time. The conversation was coming from me.

I said: Why did you pull a gun on met I didn't pull one on you.

And at that time, after the fourth shot, I leaned forward to get up, and at that time, as I leaned forward, he shot me, and I fell back, and I looked at him.

And then I got up -- I tried to get up -- and I fell.

At tht time, I tried to get up mentally, and I

24 25

21

, .22 '

23

decided to play possom.

and he said. "Now we are even."

I played possom and laid there.

At that time, he turned to Steve and he said, "Give me your shirt."

And Steve said, "Sure, brother."

I imagine he gave it to him, because he didn't have one when I got up --- when I opened my eyes, rather.

And he said, "Fear through awareness is where it is at, or awareness through fear is where it is at."

Something on that order, or vice versa.

Then I heard him mention, "We are even,"

Later on, I found out that he kissed Del's foot

Then a statement was made by Mr. Manson stating, "If you people know what is good for you, you won't say anything." Something on that order. An indication, or whatever. I don't know.

23

24

25

26

You knew when you came back, you are telling us, that Rosins, over the telephone, said that you were going to destroy everything and all the people at the Spahn Ranch.

You knew that those words had been stated over that telephone.

A Oh, yes, certainly.

Q Right?

A Yes.

Q. So, when you came back and you saw that person you say is Mr. Manson was there, you know that those words had been uttered; right?

A Oh, sure. Yes.

Q Right.

Was there any discussion about doing something to the Spahn Ranch?

A Yes, there was.

Q Tell us what it was?

A On the telephone, from the young lady Rosins, to the gentleman on the other end of the line.

Q So, you came back to that house?

A Sure.

Q Knowing that the state of mind of the person on the other and of that telephone was that you, Bernard Crowe, was going to destroy that ranch and kill everybody there; right?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion.

It is his state of mind that I am asking 4b-2 1 MR. KANAREK: 2 about, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Read the question. (The question was read by the reporter.) 5 MR. BUGLIOSI: He has no way of knowing. 6 THE COURT: Sustained. 5.5 7 Mr. Growe, did you have a gun? MR. KANAREK: Q. 8 No. I didn't. 9 Do you, from time to time, carry a gun? Ĵ0. MR. BUCLIOSI: That is irrelevant, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. 12 Did someone, Mr. Crowe, after MR. KANAREK: Q., 13 the time that you were shot -- let me withdraw that. 14 After you were shot, you were taken to a doctor; 15 is that right? 16 A hospital, yes. ·A 17 A hospital? Q 18 A Yes. 19 Who took you to the hospital? Q. 20 The police, rescue squad, ambulance, somebody. A 2Ì How long was it after you were shot that the Ò 22 police or the rescue squad got there? 23 I don't know. Perhaps 45 minutes to an hour A 24 or so. Something like that. I don't know. 25 Q. NOW --.26 I can't remember. I was in pain: I don't know. A

	f	,	•
1	Му	thought	was on that.
. 2	\	. Q	Now, did anyone did Steve have a gun?
), '3		A	No.
4		Q	How do you know?
	5	A.	I know Steve. He didn't have a gun. He
, · · · (	, di	dn't wan	it me to go back anyway.
* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	Q	Pardon?
	8	A	He didn't went me to go back.
	9 }	,	He said: Forget it. He gave me that advice,
<b>1</b> .	0 20	u know,	my friend, to forget about it, you know.
1	1	Q.	But you wanted to go back, you are telling us
	2 no	w?	
Ac fla,	3:	A	Sure.
)	14		
	15		
	16		
;	17		
	18	•	
:	,		•

1c-1	1	Q. Did Del have a gun?
,	. 2	A. I don't think so.
<b>)</b>	3	Q He may have though; right?
	. 4	A. He could have, perhaps.
e b	5	Q Well, in the type of work that Del does in this
	6	nardotics business he carries a gun with him, doesn't he?
• ‡	7	A Del
	8.	MR. BUGLIOSI: That assumes a fact not in evidence.
	9	THE COURT: Sustained.
	10	BY MR. KANAREK:
•	1Î,	Did you have a discussion with Del that night
	12	concerning a gun?
	. 13	A I beg your pardon?
):	. 14	Q Directing your attention to Del.
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q On that night, at any time that night, did you
	17.	have a discussion with Del concerning a gun?
	18	A. No.
* <sup>5</sup>	19	Q And at any time that night did you have a dis-
٠.	20	cussion with Jim concerning a gun?
<b>.</b>	21	A I don't think it was a discussion, no.
	. 22	Q What was 1t?
	23	A. In fact, it wasn't a discussion.
	24	It takes two todiscuss. It wasn't a discussion.
	25	I didn't have any discussion.
,		Y Van braid ma different and auth

No.

À.

When you are in pain, you are trying to ease the pain, and Ŀ that is all you know. 2 It seems like a lifetime, you know, when you are Ż hurting. 4: How many guns did you see Mr. Manson with? 5 One. 6 One gun; right? Yes. 8 So, when Mr. Manson left, he left with the gun; is . 9 that right? 10 I imagine. I don't know. My eyes were slosed 11 and I was playing dead. I guess he did. 12 After Mr. Manson left, did anyone take a gun off 13 of your person? 14 No. No. I didn't have one. 15 16 . 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

44-1 After Mr. Manson left, did you see any gun in 4 that apartment that night before the police came? 2 I beg your pardon? 3 À 4 Q. That is not clear? Ask it again. ٠. 5 б Q Bure. That night, after Mr. Manson left, did you see a gun in that apartment before the police or the rescue 8 squad or the ambulance, or whichever way you want to term 9 10. it, before those people came? 11 Yes. I did. Yes. 12 You did. Q Yes. 13 A Right? Q 15 Ã Yes. And who had that gun on their person, Mr. Crowe? 16 17 MR. MORGAN: Before he enswers, may I confer with 18 him, your Honor? 19 ZŽ MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I have no objection. I'd 20 be the first to say that an attorney should confer with <u>.</u> 2Lhis client, but --22 THE COURT: Then don't speak if you have no objection. 23 You may, Mr. Morgant. 24 MR. KANAREK: It is interfering with the continuity 25 of our examination, your Honor, and I see no legal basis 26 for it.

I don't know.

1	<b>Q</b>	Well, who was left in the apartment after Mr.
2.	Manson left?	
3	A	Excuse me, please. Excuse me. Excuse me.
4	<b>,</b> ,	No, I can't say that I know. Right. No.
5	Q	You can't say that you know?
6	<b>A</b>	After he left, no.
7	Q	What do you meen? Why is it that you can't
8	say that you	know?
9	*	I beg your pardon?
10	Q	Why is it that you can't say that you know
11	that there w	was a gun there after Mr. Hanson left?
12		Because I didn't see one.
13	4	You didn't see one?
14		Did you hear conversation concerning one?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Did anybody talk about a gun after Mr. Manson
17	left?	the state of the s
18	<b>A</b>	No.
19	Q	Who was in the apartment, Mr. Crowe, after Mr.
20	Manson left	
21	A	Myself, Steve, Del and Rosina. The four of
22	us,	
23	Q	After you were shot, what is the first thing
24	that was don	ne after Mr. Hanson and Mr. Walleman, T.J., left
25		Well, everyone ran to me on the floor and asked
26	me if I was	all right.

And I told them: Shush. Let him get down the 1 steps first. '2 3 shead and get out of here. 4 left. , Ì0 lÌ 12 13 15 16 17 19 22 23 .

26

I said: Call the ambulance, and you all go

And they called the ambulance and everybody

eQ.

2<u>4</u>

26

1

2

5

٠6

7

8

9

10.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23.

25

Yes.

A You are saying that, or are you asking me?
What are you doing?

I am asking you. Q Ask the question again. I don't understand it. Your state of mind was such that you thought that Rosina, Del and Steve would be arrested if they were there when the police or the rescue people, or whoever, came to the apartment, found them there when they came there as a That wasn't the first question you asked me.

May I have an answer to this question?

Because when something like that happens. to my knowledge, it will cause an investigation. So, quite naturally, they would be confined, they would be under investigation, and that takes time; right? Or whatever. They would lock them up, take them into custody, which

And you thought they would be taken into custody?

So, your purpose was to have as little evidence around there as possible concerning your being shot; right? ÈE

**~ 2**~

2

1

3 1

5

7

8.

9

11

12

13

14; 15

· 16

17

18

19

20 21

**22** 

23

25

that?

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: Argumentative.

THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. KANAREK:

Well, was that your state of mind, Mr. Crowe, was to remove as much evidence as possible concerning these people, and your shooting, from the scene?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection.

THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.

THE WITNESS: Ask the question again, please.

MR. KANAREK: May that be read, your Honor?

THE COURT: Read the question.

(Whereupon the reporter reads the record as follows:

"Q Well, was that your state of mind,
Mr. Crows, was to remove as much evidence as
possible concerning these people, and your shooting,
from the scene?")

THE WITNESS: As much evidence -- I don't know what you mean.

I just felt that there had been enough trouble, so why should I cause any more? I mean, I was shot and it could not be undone at that time, so why should I implicate anyone else any further.

What sense does it make? Can you tell me

I mean -- there was no evidence or nothing. ]

20

21

22

· 23

` 24`

25

26

just did not want to involve them. I mean, that would be obvious, wouldn't it?

## BY MR. KANAKEK:

- Q Then when they left, you had no way of knowing that the police would ever get there, or the ambulance, did you?
  - A Not really.
  - Q Pardon?
- A Yes, in a way, yes, certainly I told them to call. Why wouldn't they call?
- In other words, here you were shot, and you, as far as your state of mind was concerned, you were willing to have everyone leave that could possibly assist you, on the possibility that somebody might show up there as the result of a phone call, is that right?
  - A Oh, definitely, right.
  - Q And your estimate is that it was 45 minutes?
- A I explained to you, I don't have the time elements.

It could have been ten, or fifteen, 45 minutes, an hour -- it could be anything.

It seemed like a lifetime, the pain, you know, with ten holes in your bladder, you are trying to hold on; you are trying to think, you know.

Q Have you ever been convicted of a felony, Mr. Crowe?

Î	A No, I don't know what you are saying.
<b>2</b> .	MR. MORGAN: Excuse me, may I confer with my client
3	on this particular matter.
4	THE COURT: Vary well.
5	(Whereupon Mr. Morgan approaches the witness
6	and holds an off the record conversation with him after
7	which the following proceedings were had on the record.)
.8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
ġ	BY MR. KANAREK:
10	All right, how many felonies have you been
11	convicted of?
12	A One, about ten years ago.
13	Q What felony was that?
14	A I really don't remember it because I just
15	remember it when the probation report or something was
16	made out, I vaguely remember an incident or something.
17	I don't know, really.
18	Q You don't know well, was it treason, or wa
19	it spitting on the sidewalk? What was it?
20	A I couldn't remember. I was real young. I
21	just turned 20, you know, something like that, I guess.
22	Q Well, what was the felopy?
23	A I can't remember, I cannot remember it.
24.	Q I see.
25	Do you know do you know what court it was
26	in, Mr. Crowe?

ì	A	Yes, it was back East.
2	Q	What city?
3	A	Philadelphia.
4	Q.	Pennsylvania?
5	A	Yes.
·6	Q	And what was the nature of it, did it involve
7	narcoties	er a gun?
8	A	No, no.
9.	. <b>Q</b>	What did it involve, Mr. Crowe?
10	A	I cannot actually remember word for word.
11	Q	Well, would you tell us in what field of
12	activity it	was, Mr. Crowst
13.	A	Let me think I cannot remember.
14	Ç. Q.	Was a gun involved in that?
15	<b>.</b>	Yes.
16		And did you shoot the gun?
17	À	No.
18	Ŋ.	Well, how was the gun involved in the felony
. 19	then, what	was it?
20	A	I don't know, I guess I had it or something,
21	I don't kno	ow. I cannot quite remember.
22	Q	You cannot remember it?
23	A	No.
24	· Q	Well, you recently had occasion to talk to the
25	probation	department in Los Angeles County, is that
<b>∞26</b> .	correct?	

ı 🕴	A. Tes.
2	Q And you discussed that offense with the
8	probation officer in Los Angeles County, right?
4	A I beg your pardon. Ask that question again.
5	MR. KANAREK: Sure.
6.	Q When you went to the probation officer in the
7	not too distant past in Les Angeles County, you discussed
8	that matter back in Philadelphia with the probation officer
9	here, is that correct?
.0	A Yes excuse me, please.
1	Q Surely.
12	A Will you ask me that question once more, the
3	question you just asked me?
4	HR. KANAREK: May it be read, your Honor, so there is
15	nothing lost in the repetition?
16	THE COURT: Read the question.
17	(Whereupon the reporter reads the pending
18	question as follows:
19	"Q When you went to the probation officer
20	in the not too distant past in Los Angeles County,
21	you discussed that matter back in Philadelphia with
22	the probation officer here, is that correct?")
<b>2</b> 3	THE WITNESS: No, no, no.
24	BY MR. KANAREK:
25	Q Now, you say that it involved a gun. Were you
26	charged with robbery?

I	
2	
3	4,4
4	
5	
6	
7	•
8	,
9.	
İ0	
11	
<u>1</u> 2	
13 ·	
<b>14</b>	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2Ŏ	
<b>2</b> Ì	
22	
23	
24	

6 fls.

Q Well, what were you charged with?

No.

A I said I could not remember, you know, that is what I keep saying, I don't remember.

THE COURT: We will take our recess at this time, ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone nor form or express an opinion regarding penalty until that issue is finally submitted to you.

The court will recess for 15 minutes. (Recess.)

23

24.

25

26

THE COURT: All defendants except Mr. Manson are present; all counsel and jurors are present.

You may proceed, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

A Mr. Crowe, by whom are you employed?

MR. BUGLIOSI: It's irrelevant, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Crowe, on March or, say, in May of 1970 you had already spoken to the police concerning this case, right?

A. I beg your pardon?

Q In May of 1970 you had already spoken to the police concerning this case, is that correct?

A I don't know the time element, but I spoke to them before about the case, yes, on several occasions.

Yes, that was before May of 1970, is that correct?

A. Oh, yes, yes,

Q Now, in May of 1970 or the early part of this year you were charged with forging the seal of the State of California.

Do you remember that?

MR. BUGLIOSI; Your Honor, I object. This is improper impeachment, and he knows it.

THE COURT: Sustained. Approach the bench, Counsel.

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

<u>,</u> ,

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, you know that is improper.

MR. KANAREK: No. it is proper.

THE COURT: Just a moment. Are you contending that this was a felony conviction?

MR. KANAREK: No, he got a benefit from the People. He got a benefit, he was charged with forgery, including the forgery of the Great Seal of the State of California, and he was allowed to resolve that in a way advantageous to him in connection with this very case, because ---

THE COURT: Make your offer of proof.

MR. KANAREK: My offer of proof is if he would tell the truth, if he would testifythat he was charged with forgery, 472 of the Penal Code, and counterfeiting of the Great Seal of the State of California, your Honor, and receiving atolen property ---

THE COURT: Get to the point. What is your offer of proof?

MR. KANAREK: My offer of proof is, if he told the truth that he would say that because of this case and his posture in this case, he was allowed to have a resolution of that that was advantageous to him.

MR. BUGLIOSI: He has no evidence of that, your Honor, he has no evidence of that.

THE COURT: That appears to be just an indirect way of trying to get in something that you know to be inadmissible.

Do you have any evidence of this?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

ì

THE COURT: What?

2

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, the evidence is that this is what happened, the circumstances clearly show.

4

THE COURT: I want to hear. Nake your offer of proof.

**`**5

MR. FITZGERALD: I think the law allows counsel to ask

if there is pending against the witness a case prosecuted

·6

by the same office of the District Attorney of the same

County.

.8 .9

That is permissible impeachment.

10

THE COURT: He did not ask that.

11

MR. FITZGERALD: If the case Mr. Kanarek is referring to

12

is still pending, the theory being that a witness

13

testifying with the pending case against him is going to be

14

THE COURT: But he did not ask that.

ļ5 16

MR. FITZGERALD: No. he didn't.

beholden to the prosecution.

17

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Kanarek, I have seen you in action long enough to know, sir, that you have no morals or scruples when it comes to doing something that is

19<sub>.</sub> 20

18

improper, and you know it to be improper.

21

Now, if you want to get at this in a proper, legal way, I will let you do it, but I am getting a little bit

22 23

tired of the business of your unethical conduct.

24

In my opinion you know exactly what you are doing, and when you did that you knew it was improper.

25

In your offer of proof you have given me nothing

۵,

26

to indicate that you know what you contend to be true is true. It was simply an indirect way of getting into the record something you never could have gotten in in any other way.

It is improper impeachment.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I can ask him the question --THE COURT: That is not the point at all, and you
know it.

All right, let's proceed.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may the record reflect that Mr. Morgan once again has conferred --

THE COURT: Ask your next question, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Is that a fair statement? I just want the record to reflect it.

THE COURT: Ask your next question.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Crove, are you presently awaiting a disposition of a criminal case in the courts?

A I refuse to answer on the grounds I may incriminate myself, on the advice of my attorney,

MR. KANAREK: That is not permissible, your Honor ---

THE COURT: Answer the question, Mr. Crowe.

THE WITNESS: Ask the question again, please.

MR. KANAREK: May it be read, your Honor?

THE COURT: Do you presently have a criminal case

pending in the courts? THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 Q BY MR. KANAREK: What case? MR. BUGLIOSI: I object, your Honor, it's irrelevent. MR. KANAREK: It is offered on the basis of the benefits ੍5 acorued. 6 MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, he is making a statement 7 that benefits are aporuing. I object to that. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. .9 MH. BUGLIOSI: I would ask the Court to admonish the' 10 jury to disregard that gratuitous statement by Mr. Kanarek. 11 your Honor. 12 THE COURT: The jury will disregard Mr. Kanarek's 13 statement. 14 Ask your next question. 15. BY MR. KANAREK: On December 2, 1970, Mr. Crowe, 16 17 were you in court in Santa Monica? MR. BUGLIOSI: It's irrelevant. 48 <u>19</u> THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. KANAREK: At the present time, Mr. Crowe. **2**0 21 as you sit there on the witness stand, is your state of 22 mind such that you know that you have not yet been 23 sentenced on a case in Santa Monica? MR. BUGLIOSI: This is irrelevant, your Honor. 24 25 MR. KANAREK: This, your Honor --26

THE COURT: Sustained.

**#** -

1	MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor?
2	THE COURT: Ask your next question.
3	MR. KANAREK: May I make an offer of proof at the
4	bench, then, your Honor?
5	THE COURT: You were given that opportunity, Mr.
.6	Kanarek. Proceed.
7	Q BY MR. KANAREK: In December of 1970, Mr. Crowe,
. 8	you knew that you were going to be a witness in this case,
9	is that correct?
10	A December what?
11.	Q December of 1970.
12	A I think so. I am not sure on the date when I got
13	the subpoena.
14	Q Well, your state of mind was such in December
15	of 1970 that you knew that you would be a witness in this can
16.	is that correct?
17	A. I don't remember the date on the subpoens.
17 18	A. I don't remember the date on the subpoens.  Ferhaps I don't know.
40	
<b>18:</b> •	
18:	
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21	
18 19 20 21 22	

ž g

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

1Ĺ

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

In December ---Q

Yes, I think so, the 28th of December or something like that. I'm not sure.

In December of 1970, Mr. Crowe, and before 0 December of 1970, your state of mind was such that you knew you were going to be a witness in this case, is that correct?

December, 1970? No, the only time I knew, when Ă I got subpoensed, I mean nothing definite until I got the subpoena.

Lat's say in the summer of 1970, your state of mind was such that you know that you would be a witness in this case, is that correct?

That could have been a possibility, yes.

You knew that,

Your thinking was, as you put it, that it could have been a possibility that you would testify here, right?

> A Yes.

That is not a possibility. That is a reality; that is for sure.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Argumentative.

THE COURT: Sustained.

THE WITNESS: Now it is a reality, it manifestates itself.

THE COURT: Wait for the ruling, Mr. Crowe. BY MR. KANAREK:

> At the time during the summer of 1970, in your Q

. **4** 

21

23.

22

24 25

	ŀ	
•	1	mind you were thinking that you would be a witness in
	2	this case, is that correct?
, *	3	A I am not sure. Whenever I got the subposma,
.*	4	that is when I knew I was going to be a witness.
	5	Q You had talked to police officers on how many
,	6	occasions during 1970 concerning this case, Mr. Crowe?
	7 -	A I cannot remember.
• • • •	8	Q Fardon?
	ġ	A I cannot remember several times.
,	10	Q How many times?
· ·	11	A I can give you a round-about figure.
•	12	First the homicide a couple I don't know
*	13	three, four, five times three times, something like
.2	14	that.
**	15	By the police or the authorities, what do you
,	16	mean?
• •	17	Four or five times, something like that I gues
` •	18	I don't know, somewhere in that area.
	19	Q And who did you speak with, Mr. Crowe?
• • • • •	<b>20</b>	A Investigating officers. When I first got shot
•	21	and I was going to die I talked to homicide. Those people
	.22	I did not see again I was on my death bed.
	23	After that I talked with the investigating
•	24	officers.
	25	Q Would you meme one of their names?
	26	A If I hear it mentioned I could, you know, I

,Š

1	would gather that is it.	
2	Not right offhand, I don't.	
3	Q Do you know Mr. Sartuche?	
4	A Mr. Sartuche?	
5	Q Yes.	
6	A Yes.	
. 7	Q You spoke with him concerning this case, right?	
8	A I think so, I think that is his name.	
9	Q You think?	
10	A I'm not sure of the name.	
TÍ	Q Mr. Gutierrez, did you see Mr. Gutierrez?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q You have spoken with him?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Right?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Did be tell you this was the crime of the	
18,	century?	
19	MR. BUGLIOSI: This is argumentative and ridiculous.	
20	THE COURT: Sustained.	
21	BY MR. KANAREK:	
22	Q Well, in your discussions with Mr. Gutlerres,	
23	it was concerning this case, no question, right?	
24	A Yes, that's right.	
25	Q And Mr. Sartuche it was also concerning this	
26	case, right?	

1	A Yes who is Mr. Sartuche?
2.	Q A person other than Mr. Gutierrez who is a
<b>3.</b>	Los Angeles police officer you have spoken with concerning
4.	this case?
5	A Yes, certainly, right.
6	Q Would you tell us on what dates these were,
7	these conversations were, Mr. Crowe?
8	A I couldn't very well remember the dates. I
9	cannot very well remember the dates.
10	Q Where did these conversations take place, Mr.
11	Crowe?
12	A In the Hall of Justice here on the fifth floor,
13	one in the new County I saw the gentleman here, the
14	officer.
15	Q By the new County, you mean the new County Jail?
16	A Yes, the new County Jail.
17	Q You were in custody?
^18	A Yes, I was.
19	Q Right?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And you were in custody on a case involving
<b>,</b> 22	matters in Santa Monica, right?
23	A I refuse to answer the question.
24	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor
25	MR. BUGLIOSI: It's irrelevant.
26`	THE COURT: Answer the question.

# ľ 3 5 Ĝ 8 g 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17. 18 19 20 21

22.

23

. 24

25

26

### BY MR. KANAREK:

And would you tell us what period of time you were in custody during 1970. Mr. Crowel

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is irrelevant.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, it goes to the benefits, if your Honor wishes I will make argument at the bench.

It is clearly relevant.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. BY MR. KANAREK:

When you were on the fifth floor discussing these matters, the matters pertaining to this case, with the Los Angeles police officers, were you in the presence of any deputy district attorneys?

- Yes. Α
- Mr. Bugliosi? Q
- Yes.
- Mr. Kay? Q

When I was in the presence of the officers, the investigating officers?

- Yes.
- No. I don't think so.

You spoke to Mr. Bugliosi when you were not talking to the police officers, is that right?

I am not sure, I think one time, that is two days ago, I think, it could have been a policeman --

I did not know the occupations of the people

.26

in the office.

Q In 1970 did you talk to Mr. Stovitz of the District Attorney's Office, Deputy District Attorney Stovitz?

A Stovitz? I cannot remember. I don't know. I talked to so many people.

Q You talked to a lot of people in law enforcement concerning this case, right?

A No, about -- do you want me to count them or something --

I don't know, two, four, six -- two, four -- six people -- eight people with the two homicides, since it happened, about eight people all together.

Now, were you in custody -- how long were you in custody during the period of 1970, during the year 1970?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object, your Honor. It's irrelevant.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Did you have a conversation with a police officer, Mr. Crowe, in connection with your getting out of custody, in connection with this case?

A Which case is that?

THE COURT: Sustained.

Did you have a conversation with a police officer while you were in custody concerning your getting out of custody for whatever you were in custody about because of your testimony in this case?

A No, I was not sure I was going to be a witness until I was subposneed just like I was subposneed by you to be a defense witness for Manson.

THE COURT: Just answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

THE COURT: Read the question to the witness.

the
(Whereupon,/reporter reads the pending question
to the witness as follows:

police officer while you were in custody concerning your getting out of custody for whatever you were in custody about because of your testimony in this case?")

THE WITNESS: Oh, no.

What did you tell him you were in trouble about?

1

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is irrelevant. 2 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. KANAREK: Your Honor -- then may I approach the 4 bench? ·5 THE COURT: No. Proceed. BY MR. KANAREK: But you did discuss with him. 7 Q 8 then, your matter, the reason that you were in custody. right? That was discussed with him? . 9 He asked me a question about 1 and I gave him 10 / an answer, you know, that is all it was. 11 12 It was not a discussion, it was just a 13 question and an answer. Q --- A. 14 Now, when you have been in court in Santa Monica 15 in connection with the matter you were in custody for 16 in 1970 --17. I beg your pardon? ĺ8 You were in court in Santa Monica in connection with the matter you were in custody in, in 1970? 19 20 MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant. **21** THE COURT: Sustained. 22 BY NR. KANAREK: While you were in the courthouse **23** in Santa Monica. Mr. Crove, did you discuss this case 24 with anyone in that courthouse? ' ' 25 No, not to my knowledge. Do I remember 1t? 26. No.

<b>1</b>	Q You mean you may have discussed it?
2	A. No, there is no doubt about it, I had no reason to.
3	I didn't even know I was going to be a part of it.
4	I knew there was a possibility because of
.5	conversation based on, you know, "What happened to you
6	pertaining to that individual Charlie Manson?"
7	Right, and you had conversation at a time
8	you had a conversation concerning Charlie Manson at the
9	time when you were in court in Santa Monica concerning your
10	case, right?
11 T	A No.
12	Q You never had that kind of a conversation?
13	A Not in court, no.
14	Q Did you have it in the corridors or in the
15	courtroom when the court was not in session?
<b>1</b> 6	A. No, no.
<b>17</b> .	Q Do you know what a deputy District Attorney is,
18	Mr. Growe?
19	A Yes, the prosecutor.
20	Q Right. And you know what a police officer is?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q And while you were in Santa Monica, on occasion
23	you had to go to Santa Monica in connection with your case
24	there, right?
25	A. I beg your pardon?
26	On occasion you had to . To Santa Monica in

26.

connection with your case, which was in the Santa Monica Superior Court, right?

A Yes.

And while you were there at Santa Monica did you discuss Mr. Manson with the Deputy District Attorney in Santa Monica?

A. No.

24

Q Never did?

A No.

Q Are you, at this time, swaiting sentencing in connection with that case in Santa Monica?

A I refuse to answer on the grounds that it may incriminate myself, and on the advice of my attorney.

THE COURT: Answer the question.

MR. MORGAN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? THE COURT: I don't think it is necessary.

Answer the question, Mr. Crows.

Did you hear the question? Are you presently awaiting sentencing?

THE WITNESS: All I know is it is unresolved, I guess. I don't know. It is not over with.

MR. KANAREK: You are awaiting sentencing on that case; is that correct, Mr. Growe?

THE WITHESS: Yes, I guess.

Is that right?

MR. KANAFEK: Thank you, Mr. Crowe.

THE WITNESS: No, it is not right.

My attorney says it is not right.

He is my attorney. I don't know.

THE COURT: There is nothing pending.

Any questions, Mr. Keith?

MR. KEITH: No. your Homor.

THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, any redirect?

ľ

2.

3 4

5

6.

8

9

10

11 12

13.

14

15

16 17

18

. 19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

# REDIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Was Del a Negro?
- I beg your pardon?
- Was Del a Negro? 0
- No. A
- Is Steve a Megro? Q
- No. Ä
- Is Jim a Negro? Q
- No.
- At the time that Mr. Manson shot you, did you have a knife on your person?
  - No.
- And you testified that you did not have a gun on your person; is that correct?
  - That is correct.
- Were you armed with any type of a deadly or offensive weapon whatsoever?
  - No.
- Did you, at mny time, tell Mr. Menson that you were armed in any fashion?
  - No.
  - MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions.
  - THE COURT: Any recross?

MR. KANAREK: Yos.

2

\_

3.

, 5

6

,

7

9

R

ÍΟ

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

Ì8

19:

20

21 22

23

25

 $24^{\circ}$ 

26

RECROSS EXAMINATION

### BY MR. KANAKEK:

Q Mr. Crows, you are writing a book; right?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is irrelevant.

THE WITNESS: No. I am not.

THE COURT: Sustained.

You may step down, sir.

THE WITNESS: I wish I was.

MR. BUCLIOSI: The People call Michael Erwin

HR. MORGAN: Your Honox, may I address the Court,

## please? THE COUNT: Yes.

MR. MORGAN: May this witness and myself be excused?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, he is under subposes to return on February the 8th at 9:00 s.m.

MR. MORGAN: Until February the 8th?

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Morgan.

MR. KAMAREK: Before Mr. Grove leaves, your Honor,
I wonder if your Honor can inform him about the publicity
order?

THE COURT: Are you femiliar with that order, Mr. Morgan?

MR. MORGANI No, I am not, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Clark will give you a copy of the

order, Mr. Morgan.

Will you advise your client that he is subject to that order?

MR. MORGAN: Yes,

6a-1 13

1

3

5

8.

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(Mr. Michael Erwin enters the courtroom.) THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please.

Would you please repeat after me.

I do solemnly swear ---

THE WITNESS: I do molemply swear ---

THE CLERK: -- that the testimony I may give -

THE WITNESS: -- that the testimony I may give --

THE CLERK! -- in the cause now pending --

THE WITNESS: -- in the cause now pending --

THE CLERK: -- before this court --

-- before this court --THE WITHESS:

THE CLERK: -- shall be the truth --

THE WITNESS: -- shall be the truth --

THE CLERK: -- the whole truth --

THE WITNESS: -- the whole truth --

THE CLERK: -- and nothing but the truth --

THE WITNESS: -- and nothing but the truth --

THE CLERK: -- so help me God.

THE WITNESS: So help me God.

THE CLERK: Would you be seated, please.

Would you please state and spell your name.

THE WITNESS: Michael Erwin, E-r-w-i-n.

21,896 MICHAEL EXVIN. 1 2 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been first duly sworm, testified as follows: 3 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Ż. What is your occupation, sir? 8 . I am a box boy and a student. . 9 Did you know an individual named Gary Hirman? 10 Yes, I did. 11 MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I have here a photograph. 12 May it be marked People's P-2 by incorporation, by reference 13 to Superior Court case No. 057452? P-2 14 THE COURT: It will be so marked. 15 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 16 I show you People's P-2. 17 Do you know who is shown in that photograph, .18 sir? 19 Yes. I do. A 20 Who is that? Q 21 Gary Himman. A 22 How old was Himman? Q 23 I believe he was 34. A 24 Could you briefly explain your acquaintanceship

MR. FITZGERALD: It is immaterial and irrelevant,

26

.25

with Mr. Hinman?"

Overruled. THE COURT:

You may answer.

THE WITNESSY Answer it

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I understand this is coming in only against -- not against Mr. Manson. wonder if your Honor would so inform the jury.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is true, your Honor. The People are offering this evidence only against Defendant Susan Atkins.

THE COURT: The jury is admonished that the testimony of this witness is to be considered only against Miss Atkins and not with respect to any of the other defendants.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I wonder if we might approach the bench briefly so that I can make a certain point to the Court?

THE COURT: Having to do with the testimony of this witness?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well.

(Whereupon all counsel approach the banch and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, my motion, your Honor, is that the District Attorney make an election.

If he elects to put this matter concerning Gary

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Ц

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25,

26

Himman on, I mak for a mistrial because of the fact that mere admonishment to the jury is insufficient.

It will not be possible, the jury cannot, in the posture of this case and the relationship that the District Attorney slieges between these parties, even though your Honor makes the admonishment, it would be ineffective, and it is a denial of a fair trial, a fair penalty trial, under the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, and denial of equal protection under the Fourteenth Amendment, and also denial of due process under the California law, for your Honor to sllow into evidence anything concerning this alleged homicide against Mr. Himman.

I refer you to cases like Stein vs. New York where the Appellate Courts indicate that it is certainly gymnastics that the jury cannot look through. And I think it is so prejudicial hearing about Hirman, that that being the case, mere admonishment not sufficing, I ask for a mistrial, or that the District Attorney not use it in this joint trial.

6h £1s. 19

.3,

9`

ÌΙ

6b-1

. 1

. 6

ģ,

-

.4

MR. FITZGERALD; I will join in that motion.

MR, KEITH: I will, too.

MR. RITZGERALD: I think there is something to be said for Mr. Kanarek's motion.

THE COURT: How does it differ from the typical Bruton-Aranda problem? The courts have upheld it when a statement does not implicate a co-defendant.

Apparently he is not the one that is going to testify -- MR. FITZGERALD: I think he is going to testify -- he is going to establish a corpus; that he knew Gary Hinman in life; and then they are going to put on evidence that he is dead.

But I sort of agree with Mr. Kanarek that even though the jury is admonished and it is going to be limited to Susan Atkins, inasmuch as they have already been convicted of conspiracy to commit murder, that is to say, that they combined and confederated one with another, the defendants, it is going to be difficult to limit the evidence as to one of the defendants, because it is so grossly prejudicial.

I mean, it is supposed to be prejudicial as to Susan Atkins, but the gross prejudice of it can't help but spill over onto the other defendants.

MR. KANAREK: Furthermore, there is not just statements, there is a crime. They are not putting on just an Aranda-

4 .5

6

Ą,

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

ĮŘ .

19

. 20

21

22 23

24

25

2Ġ

Bruton statement which goes to confessions or admissions. Here they are alleging that they are going to purportedly prove up beyond a reasonable doubt an actual homicide.

THE COURT: That is not what Mr. Bugliosi said. I understood him to say that he was going to put on Roni Howard to testify to Susan Atkins' statements.

It is prejudicial, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is correct.

MR. FITZGERALD: They are going to put on a corpus
for murder, a dead body, and then they are going to put on
her confession, and this is the first witness to establish
the corpus. This is the first witness to establish somebody
once alive is now dead, and then they are going to put in
her confession.

THE COURT: What about the argument that because the defendants have been convicted of a conspiracy -- what was the date of the Hinman killing?

MR. BUGLIOSI: We don't know, but probably July 25th, July 26th; somewhere around there.

THE COURT: 1969?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

MR. KAY: Right.

THE COURT: Well, you see, that raises an entirely different question than the Bruton-Aranda problems we had in the guilt phase before anything had been proved against the defendant. Here you have something different. The

-

2Í

22

23

24

25

26

jury has convicted these defendants of conspiracy, a conspiracy which they could have found commenced before July 25th and continued right through that period until after the La Bianca murders.

So, you have an entirely different situation.

MR. KEITH: Particularly when the evidence is so voluminous to show the very tight-knit association of these defendants.

THE COURT: Not only that, but the proximity of the location. Topenga Canyon is just a matter of a relatively few miles from the Spahn Ranch and from the Tate residence.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, our position, of course, is that it is entirely admissible only against her, but I think this is a serious enough issue that we should perhaps discuss it back in chambers.

THE COURT: I agree. I think it is a very serious situation.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I don't think we can resolve it here at the bench.

THE COURT: It is five minutes to 12:00. We will recess at this time, and I will see counsel in chambers at 1:45 and we will continue the discussion.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All right.

(Whereupon, all counsel returned to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and

20

26

hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: We are going to recess at this time, ladies and gentlemen.

Do not forget the admonition.

Do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the penalty until that issue is finally submitted to you.

The Court will recess until 1:45.

(Whereupon, at 11:55 a.m. the court was adjourned.)